arXiv:1402.5570v1 [math.AG] 23 Feb 2014

APPLICATIONS OF THE AFFINE STRUCTURES ON THE TEICHMÜLLER SPACES

XIAOJING CHEN, FENG GUAN, AND KEFENG LIU

Abstract. We prove the existence of global sections trivializing the Hodge bundles on the Teichmüller spaces of Calabi–Yau manifolds, as well as a global splitting property of these Hodge bundles. We also prove that a compact Calabi–Yau manifold can not be deformed to its complex conjugate. These results answer certain open questions in the subject. A general result about the period map to be bi-holomorphic from the Hodge metric completion space of the Teichmüller space of Calabi–Yau type manifolds to their period domains is proved and applied to the cases of K3 surfaces and cubic fourfolds.

Introduction

This paper is motivated by the certain open questions mentioned in the above abstract brought to us by Professors Bong Lian and Si Li. As applications of our recent results about the affine structure on the Teichmüller spaces of Calabi–Yau manifolds, we answer these questions affirmatively. We also prove a general result about the period map to be both injective and surjective from the Hodge metric completion space of the Teichmüller spaces of Calabi–Yau type manifolds to their period domians.

In our recent papers [4, 5], we studied the period maps and the Hodge metric completion spaces on the Teichmüller spaces of polarized and marked Calabi–Yau and Calabi–Yau type manifolds, respectively. Our original motivation of considering the Hodge metric completion space of the Teichmüller spaces is to prove the global Torelli theorem on the Teichmüller space, which asserts the injectivity of the period map on the Teichmüller space of polarized and marked Calabi–Yau or Calabi–Yau type manifolds. Such Torelli problem has been studied for a long time. In [40] Weil reformulated the Torelli problem for Riemann surfaces with polarization. Andreotti proved Weil's version of the Torelli problem in [1]. In 1960s and 70s, Griffiths [7, 8] and Deligne [6] developed the general theory of variations of Hodge structures, which re-shaped the theory of Torelli problems in terms of period domains and period maps. The global Torelli problem for K3 surfaces was conjectured by Weil in [41]. The proof of it was given by Shafarevich and Piatetski-Shapiro in [26], Looijenga in [20], and Burns-Rapoport in [2]. Todorov [33] and Siu [30] were able to show the surjectivity of the period mapping for K3 surfaces. Furthermore, Voisin in [39] and Looijenga in [21] proved the global Torelli theorem for moduli spaces of cubic fourfolds, the moduli space and period maps of cubic fourfolds are also well studied in Laza [16, 17]. A version of global Torelli theorem for marked hyperkähler manifolds was recently proved by Verbitsky [36]. Surjectivity of the period map from certain moduli spaces for hyperkähler manifolds was proved by Huybrechts and Verbitsky in [13], [36]. There are also many works on local Torelli theorems and generic Torelli theorems.

In [4], we have proved a global Torelli theorem on the Teichmüller space of polarized and marked Calabi–Yau manifolds. Our method works without change for more general Calabi–Yau manifolds such as hyperkähler manifolds, as long as their Teichmüller spaces are smooth. In [5], we adapted similar argument from [4] to give a proof of the global Torelli theorem for the Teichmüller space of polarized and marked Calabi–Yau type manifolds, which is a more general kind of polarized and marked projective manifolds, including cubic fourfolds.

The key property we proved in [4, 5] will be recalled in Theorem 2.2 in §2 in this paper. Based on this theorem, we were able to construct the holomorphic affine structure on the Teichmüller spaces as well as the Hodge metric completion space and thus the injectivity of the period map on the Teichmüller space. We will give a brief review of the definitions of basic concepts in §1, and give a sketch of the construction of holomorphic affine structures on the Teichmüller spaces in §2. In §3, we first show the construction of the Hodge metric completions space of the Teichmüller space, and finally conclude a global Torelli theorem on the Teichmüller space. One may refer to [4] and [5] for more details.

In this paper we use our result to study period maps and Hodge bundles on the Teichmüller spaces of polarized and marked Calabi–Yau and Calabi–Yau type manifolds.

In Section 4.1, we will first prove a simple but general result about when the extended period map is a bi-holomorphic map, and apply it to give a simple proof of the surjectivity of the extended period map on Hodge metric completion of the Teichmüller space of polarized and marked K3 surfaces and cubic fourfolds. More precisely, let $\mathcal{T}^H$ denote the Hodge metric completion space of the Teichmüller space of a polarized and marked Calabi–Yau type manifold, and D be its corresponding period domain. In [4] we have proved that $\mathcal{T}^H$ is smooth complex affine manifold if $\mathcal{T}$ is smooth. Then in Section 4.1 we prove the following theorem for polarized and marked Calabi–Yau and Calabi–Yau type manifolds,

Theorem 0.1. *If* $\dim \mathcal{T}^H = \dim D$*, then the extended period map* $\Phi^H : \mathcal{T}^H \to D$ *is surjective.*

Note that in the case of Calabi–Yau type manifolds, we require that the Teichmüller spaces are smooth, as in the cases of Calabi–Yau manifolds. In particular, the above theorem applies to the cases of K3 and cubic fourfolds, and more generally to hyperkähler manifolds. We note that similar results for the moduli spaces of K3 surfaces and cubic fourfolds were first proved in [30, 33] and [21] respectively.

In Section 4.2, we let F^k with $0 \leq k \leq n$ denote the Hodge bundles on $\mathcal{T}^H$, and prove the following result for polarized and marked Calabi–Yau and Calabi–Yau type manifolds,

Theorem 0.2. *All the Hodge bundles* F^k *over* $\mathcal{T}^H$ *are trivial bundles, and the trivialization can be obtained by the canonical sections in* (9).

These canonical sections are explicitly constructed in Section 4.2 by using unipotent matrices in our proof of the affine structure on $\mathcal{T}^H$.

Let $\mathcal{T}$ be the Teichmüller space of polarized and marked Calabi–Yau manifolds. Let $p \in \mathcal{T}$ be a base point with the corresponding Calabi–Yau manifolds M_p. Let $\varphi(\tau)$ denote

the Kuranishi family of Beltrami differential for the local deformation of complex structure of a Calabi–Yau manifold M_p, and $[\Omega_p^c(\tau)] = [e^{\varphi(\tau)} \lrcorner \Omega_p]$ denotes the local canonical family of holomorphic $(n,0)$-classes around the base point p in $\mathcal{T}$. Let $\mathcal{T}^H$ denote the Hodge metric completion of $\mathcal{T}$, then we have the following theorem for Calabi–Yau manifolds,

Theorem 0.3. *There is a global holomorphic section s_0 of the Hodge bundle F^n on $\mathcal{T}^H$ which extends the local canonical holomorphic section $[\Omega_p^c(\tau)]$.*

In Section 4.3, we still consider the Teichmüller space of polarized and marked Calabi–Yau manifolds and let $p \in \mathcal{T}$ be the base point. Let F_p^k denote the fiber of the Hodge bundle F^k at p for any point $p \in \mathcal{T}^H$, and prove the following theorem for polarized and marked Calabi–Yau manifolds and Calabi–Yau type manifolds.

Theorem 0.4. *For any two points p and q in $\mathcal{T}^H$ and $1 \leq k \leq n$, we have that $H^n(M, \mathbb{C}) = F_p^k \oplus \overline{F_q^{n-k+1}}$.*

Finally we let M_q denote a compact polarized and marked Calabi–Yau manifold with complex structure J, and $\overline{M_q}$ denote the corresponding polarized and marked Calabi–Yau manifold with conjugate complex structure $-J$, then we have the following theorem

Theorem 0.5. *If $q \neq q'$ are two distinct points in $\mathcal{T}$, then $M_{q'} \neq \overline{M_q}$.*

Here $M_{q'} \neq \overline{M_q}$ means that the two polarized and marked Calabi–Yau manifolds can not be identical in $\mathcal{T}$. Equivalently this implies that M_q can not be deformed to its complex conjugate manifold $\overline{M_q}$. We remarked such type of problems have been studied, and that one may find results of similar type of problems in [15]. Theorem 0.4 and Theorem 0.5 answer some long-standing questions in the subject of Calabi–Yau manifolds.

We would like to thank Professors Si Li and Bong Lian for stimulating discussions and many helpful suggestions.

1. Period maps on the Teichmüller spaces

This section is a review of basic definitions of Calabi–Yau and Calabi–Yau type manifolds, as well as their moduli and Tecihmüller spaces and period maps. Basic results about the moduli spaces and Teichmüller spaces of polarized and marked Calabi–Yau and Calabi–Yau type manifolds are briefly recalled in Section 1.1 and Section 1.2. In Section 1.3 basic properties of period maps are also reviewed for reader's convenience.

1.1. Moduli space and Teichmüller space.

Let M be a projective manifold with $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M = n$. Let L be an ample line bundle over M. We call the pair (M, L) a polarized projective manifold.

The *moduli space* $\mathcal{M}$ of polarized complex structures on a given differential manifold X is a complex analytic space consisting of biholomorphically equivalent pairs (M, L) of complex structures and ample line bundles. Let us denote by $[M, L]$ the point in $\mathcal{M}$ corresponding to a pair (M, L), where M is a complex manifold diffeomorphic to X and L is an ample line bundle on M. If there is a biholomorphic map f between M and M' with $f^*L' = L$, then $[M, L] = [M', L'] \in \mathcal{M}$. One may also look at [25] and [37] for more details about the construction of moduli spaces of polarized projective manifolds.

Let (M, L) be a polarized projective manifold. For any integer $m \geq 3$, we call a basis of the quotient space $(H_n(M, \mathbb{Z})/\text{Tor})/m(H_n(M, \mathbb{Z})/\text{Tor})$ a level m structure on

the polarized projective manifold manifold. Let $\mathcal{Z}_m$ be the moduli space of polarized projective manifolds with level m structure.

In this paper, we assume that there exists a m_0, such that for $m \geq m_0$, $\mathcal{Z}_m$ is a connected quasi-projective smooth complex manifold with a versal family of projective manifolds with level m structures,

$$\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{Z}_m} \to \mathcal{Z}_m,$$

containing M as a fiber and polarized by an ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Z}_m}$ on $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{Z}_m}$. This assumption is true for a large class of interesting manifolds, including Calabi–Yau manifolds, and many complete intersections of Calabi–Yau type manifolds, which are our main objects to study.

A *polarized and marked* projective manifold is a triple consisting of a projective manifold M, an ample line bundle L over M, and a basis $\{\gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_{h^n}\}$ of the integral middle homology group modulo torsion, $H_n(M, \mathbb{Z})/\mathrm{Tor}$. We now define the *Teichmüller space* $\mathcal{T}$ to be a complex analytic space consisting of biholomorphically equivalent triples of $(M, L, \{\gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_{h^n}\})$. To be more precise, for two triples $(M, L, \{\gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_{h^n}\})$ and $(M', L', \{\gamma'_1, \cdots, \gamma'_{h^n}\})$, if there exists a biholomorphic map $f : M \to M'$ with

$$\begin{aligned} f^*L' &= L, \\ f^*\gamma'_i &= \gamma_i \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq i \leq h^n, \end{aligned}$$

then $[M, L, \{\gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_{h^n})\}] = [M', L', \{\gamma'_1, \cdots, \gamma'_{h^n})\}] \in \mathcal{T}$. For simplicity we use $[M, L, \gamma]$ to denote the triple $[M, L, \{\gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_{h^n})\}]$. By this definition, we know that the Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}$ is a covering space of $\mathcal{Z}_m$ with the covering map denoted by $\pi_m : \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{Z}_m$. We then have the pull-back family $\pi : \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{T}$ of $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{Z}_m} \to \mathcal{Z}_m$.

Proposition 1.1. *Assume the moduli space $\mathcal{Z}_m$ is smooth for $m \geq m_0$, then the Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}$ is a smooth and connected complex manifold and the family*

$$\varphi : \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{T},$$

containing M as a fiber, is local Kuranishi at each point of $\mathcal{T}$.

Proof. Since there is a natural covering map $\pi_m : \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{Z}_m$ for any $m \geq m_0$ by the definition of $\mathcal{T}$, the Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}$ is a smooth and connected complex manifold, as $\mathcal{Z}_m$ is a connected smooth complex manifold. Since the family $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{Z}_m} \to \mathcal{Z}_m$ is a versal family at each point of $\mathcal{Z}_m$ and that π_m is locally biholomorphic, the pull-back family via π_m is also versal at each point of $\mathcal{T}$. By the definition of local Kuranishi family, we get that $\mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{T}$ is local Kuranishi at each point of $\mathcal{T}$. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{T}$ is independent of m by using the versal property and the simply connectedness of $\mathcal{T}$. □

1.2. **Calabi–Yau and Calabi–Yau type manifolds.** The basic objects we are considering in [4] and [5] are polarized and marked Calabi–Yau manifolds and Calabi–Yau type manifolds, respectively.

Definition 1.2. *A compact projective manifold M of complex dimension $n \geq 2$ is called Calabi–Yau, if it has a trivial canonical bundle and satisfies $H^i(M, \mathcal{O}_M) = 0$ for $0 < i < n$.*

Definition 1.3. *A compact simply connected projective manifold M of complex dimension n is called a Calabi–Yau type manifold, if it satisfies the following:*

(i) *there exists some* $[n/2] < s \leq n$, *such that* $h^{s,n-s}(M) = 1$ *and* $h^{s',n-s'}(M) = 0$ *for any* $s' > s$;
(ii) $H^{s,n-s}(M) = H^{s,n-s}_{pr}(M)$;
(iii) *for any generator* $[\Omega] \in H^{s,n-s}(M)$, *the contraction map* $\lrcorner : H^{0,1}(M, T^{1,0}M) \to H^{s-1,n-s+1}_{pr}(M)$ *defined via* $[\varphi] \mapsto [\varphi \lrcorner \Omega]$ *is an isomorphism;*

where $H^{s,n-s}_{pr}(M)$ *and* $H^{s-1,n-s+1}_{pr}(M)$ *are the primitive cohomology group of corresponding type, which will be defined in the following.*

Remark 1.4. One notices that the middle dimensional Hodge numbers of a Calabi–Yau type manifold are similar to that of a Calabi–Yau manifold, and that the third condition in Definition 1.3 implies the local Torelli for Calabi–Yau type manifolds. Moreover, a Calabi–Yau manifold, for example as considered in [31] or in [4], satisfies all the conditions in Definition 1.3. Therefore, a Calabi–Yau manifold is of course a Calabi–Yau type manifold.

Example 1.5. In two dimensions, the K3 surfaces are the Calabi–Yau manifolds. In three dimensions, one example of Calabi–Yau manifolds is non-singular quintic threefolds in $\mathbb{P}^4$; another example is the mirror family of quintic threefolds, which has been investigated in details. For higher dimensions, the zero set of non-singular homogeneous degree d polynomial in $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ is a compact Calabi–Yau n-fold if and only if $d = n+2$. For the examples of Calabi–Yau type manifolds, one example of Calabi–Yau type manifolds is cubic fourfolds. More generally, the zero set of non-singular homogeneous degree d polynomial in $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ is a compact Calabi–Yau type n-fold if $d|n+2$. Moreover, we remark that one may refer to [14] for many interesting examples of Calabi–Yau type manifolds of Fano type.

Let $\mathcal{T}$ be the Teichmüller space of polarized and marked Calabi–Yau manifolds or Calabi–Yau type manifolds defined as in §1.1. If M is a Calabi–Yau manifold, then $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{T} = h^{n-1,1}(M)$, where $h^{n-1,1}(M) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{n-1,1}_{pr}(M)$. If M is a Calabi–Yau type manifold, then $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{T} = h^{s-1,1}(M)$, where $h^{s-1,1}(M) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{s-1,1}_{pr}(M)$. In the following context, we will denote $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{T} = N$.

Remark 1.6. Recall that we assume that there exists some m_0 such that for $m \geq m_0$ such that the moduli space $\mathcal{Z}_m$ is smooth. Then we conclude in Proposition 1.1 that the Teichmüller space is smooth. In fact, for the case of Calabi–Yau manifolds, the smoothness of the moduli space $\mathcal{Z}_m$ for $m \geq 3$ is proved in Theorem 2.2 in [31].

Theorem 1.7. *Let* $\mathcal{T}$ *be the Teichmüller space of polarized and marked Calabi–Yau type manifolds. Assume that* $\mathcal{Z}_m$ *is smooth for some* $m \geq m_0$, *then* $\mathcal{T}$ *is a simply connected smooth complex manifold. In particular, the Teichmüller space of polarized and marked Calabi–Yau manifold is a simply connected smooth complex manifold.*

We refer the reader to [5, Theorem 2.5] for the proof. Moreover, one may conclude that the Teichmüller space is actually the universal cover of the smooth moduli space $\mathcal{Z}_m$ from the above theorem.

We also remark that if $\mathcal{Z}_m$ is smooth for any $m \geq m_0$, then we can define the Teichmüller space as the universal cover of $\mathcal{Z}_m$ for any fixed $m \geq m_0$. In fact, let m_1 and m_2 be two different integers, and $\mathcal{U}_1 \to \mathcal{T}_1$, $\mathcal{U}_2 \to \mathcal{T}_2$ be two versal families constructed via level m_1 and level m_2 structures respectively as above, and both of which contain M as a fiber. By using the fact that $\mathcal{T}_1$ and $\mathcal{T}_2$ are simply connected and the definition of versal family, we have a biholomorphic map $f : \mathcal{T}_1 \to \mathcal{T}_2$, such that the versal family $\mathcal{U}_1 \to \mathcal{T}_1$ is the

pull back of the versal family $\mathcal{U}_2 \to \mathcal{T}_2$ by f. Thus these two families are biholomorphic to each other. Moreover, using this alternate definition, one can see that the Teichmüller space is the deformation of the complex structure on the polarized and marked Calabi–Yau manifolds or Calabi–Yau type manifolds. We actually used this alternate definition of the Teichmüller space of Calabi–Yau manifolds in [4].

1.3. **Period domain and period map on the Teichmüller space.** We will give a general review about Hodge structures and period domain. One may refer to [27, §3] for more details. For a polarized and marked Calabi–Yau manifold or Calabi–Yau type manifold M with background smooth manifold X, we identify the basis of $H_n(M,\mathbb{Z})/\mathrm{Tor}$ to a lattice Λ as in [31]. This gives us a canonical identification of the middle dimensional de Rham cohomology of M to that of the background manifold X, that is, $H^n(M) \cong H^n(X)$, where the coefficient ring can be $\mathbb{Q}$, $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$. Since the polarization L is an integer class, it defines a map

$$L:\ H^n(X,\mathbb{Q}) \to H^{n+2}(X,\mathbb{Q}), \quad A \mapsto L \wedge A.$$

We denote by $H^n_{pr}(X) = \ker(L)$ the primitive cohomology groups where, the coefficient ring can also be $\mathbb{Q}$, $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$. We let $H^{k,n-k}_{pr}(M) = H^{k,n-k}(M) \cap H^n_{pr}(M,\mathbb{C})$ and denote its dimension by $h^{k,n-k}$. We have the Hodge decomposition $H^n_{pr}(M,\mathbb{C}) = H^{n,0}_{pr}(M) \oplus \cdots \oplus H^{0,n}_{pr}(M)$. The Poincaré bilinear form Q on $H^n_{pr}(X,\mathbb{Q})$ is defined by

$$Q(u,v) = (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \int_X u \wedge v$$

for any d-closed n-forms u, v on X. Let $f^k = \sum_{i=k}^n h^{i,n-i}$, $f^0 = m$, and $F^k = F^k(M) = H^{n,0}_{pr}(M) \oplus \cdots \oplus H^{k,n-k}_{pr}(M)$, from which we have the decreasing filtration $H^n_{pr}(M,\mathbb{C}) = F^0 \supset \cdots \supset F^n$. We know that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} F^k = f^k, \tag{1}$$

$$H^n_{pr}(X,\mathbb{C}) \ = F^k \oplus \overline{F^{n-k+1}}, \quad \text{and} \quad H^{k,n-k}_{pr}(M) = F^k \cap \overline{F^{n-k}}. \tag{2}$$

In terms of the Hodge filtration, the Poincaré bilinear form satisfies the Hodge-Riemann relations

$$Q\left(F^k, F^{n-k+1}\right) = 0, \quad \text{and} \tag{3}$$

$$Q\left(Cv, \overline{v}\right) > 0 \quad \text{if} \quad v \neq 0, \tag{4}$$

where C is the Weil operator given by $Cv = \left(\sqrt{-1}\right)^{2k-n} v$ for $v \in H^{k,n-k}_{pr}(M)$. The period domain D for polarized Hodge structures with data (1) is the space of all such Hodge filtrations

$$D = \left\{ F^n \subset \cdots \subset F^0 = H^n_{pr}(X,\mathbb{C}) \mid (1), (3) \text{ and } (4) \text{ hold} \right\}.$$

The compact dual $\check{D}$ of D is $\check{D} = \left\{ F^n \subset \cdots \subset F^0 = H^n_{pr}(X,\mathbb{C}) \mid (1) \text{ and } (3) \text{ hold} \right\}$. The period domain $D \subseteq \check{D}$ is an open subset. We note that the conditions (3) and (4) imply the identities in (2).

Remark 1.8. We remark the notation change for the primitive cohomology groups. For simplicity, we will use $H^n(M,\mathbb{C})$ and $H^{k,n-k}(M)$ to denote the primitive cohomology groups $H^n_{pr}(M,\mathbb{C})$ and $H^{k,n-k}_{pr}(M)$ respectively. Moreover, we will use cohomology to mean primitive cohomology in the rest of the paper.

Then the period map $\Phi : \mathcal{T} \to D$ is defined by assigning to each point in $\mathcal{T}$ the Hodge structure of the corresponding fiber. The period map has several good properties, and one may refer to [38, Chapter 10] for details. Among them, one of the most important is the following Griffiths transversality: the period map Φ is a holomorphic map and its tangent map satisfies that

$$\Phi_*(v) \in \bigoplus_{k=1}^{n} \mathrm{Hom}\left(F_p^k/F_p^{k+1}, F_p^{k-1}/F_p^k\right) \quad \text{for any} \quad p \in \mathcal{T} \ \text{ and } \ v \in T_p^{1,0}\mathcal{T}$$

with $F^{n+1} = 0$, or equivalently, $\Phi_*(v) \in \bigoplus_{k=0}^{n} \mathrm{Hom}(F_p^k, F_p^{k-1})$.

2. Affine structure on the Teichmüller space

This section reviews our construction of the affine structure on the Teichmüller spaces of polarized and marked Calabi–Yau and Calabi–Yau type manifolds.

We will first give a general review about Hodge structures and period domain from Lie group point of view. One may refer to [9] and [27] for more details. Let us simply denote $H_{\mathbb{C}} = H^n(M, \mathbb{C})$ and $H_{\mathbb{R}} = H^n(M, \mathbb{R})$. The group of the $\mathbb{C}$-rational points is

$$G_{\mathbb{C}} = \{g \in GL(H_{\mathbb{C}}) |\ Q(gu, gv) = Q(u, v) \text{ for all } u, v \in H_{\mathbb{C}}\},$$

which acts on $\check{D}$ transitively. The group of real points in $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ is

$$G_{\mathbb{R}} = \{g \in GL(H_{\mathbb{R}}) |\ Q(gu, gv) = Q(u, v) \text{ for all } u, v \in H_{\mathbb{R}}\},$$

which acts transitively on D as well. Then we have the following identification,

$$\check{D} \simeq G_{\mathbb{C}}/B, \quad \text{with} \quad B = \{g \in G_{\mathbb{C}} |\ gF_p^k = F_p^k, \text{ for any } k\}.$$

Similarly, one obtains an analogous identification $D \simeq G_{\mathbb{R}}/V$ with $V = G_{\mathbb{R}} \cap B$. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of the simple complex Lie group $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ can be described as

$$\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}^{k,-k}, \text{ with } \mathfrak{g}^{k,-k} = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} | XH_p^{r,n-r} \subseteq H_p^{r+k,n-r-k}\}.$$

It is a simple complex Lie algebra, which contains $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} |\ XH_{\mathbb{R}} \subseteq H_{\mathbb{R}}\}$ as a real form, i.e. $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus i\mathfrak{g}_0$. With the inclusion $G_{\mathbb{R}} \subseteq G_{\mathbb{C}}$, $\mathfrak{g}_0$ becomes Lie algebra of $G_{\mathbb{R}}$. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{b}$ of B is then $\mathfrak{b} = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \mathfrak{g}^{k,-k}$. By left translation via $G_{\mathbb{C}}$, $\mathfrak{b} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{-1,1}/\mathfrak{b}$ gives rise to a $G_{\mathbb{C}}$-invariant holomorphic subbundle of the holomorphic tangent bundle at a fixed base point $o \in D$. It will be denoted by $T_{o,h}^{1,0}\check{D}$. The horizontal tangent subbundle at the base point o, restricted to D, determines a subbundle $T_{o,h}^{1,0}D$ of the holomorphic tangent bundle $T_o^{1,0}D$ of D at the base point. In [27], a holomorphic mapping $\Psi : M \to \check{D}$ of a complex manifold M into $\check{D}$ is called *horizontal* if at each point of M, the induced map between the holomorphic tangent spaces takes values in the appropriate fibre $T_{o,h}^{1,0}\check{D}$. It is not hard to see that the period map $\Phi : \mathcal{T} \to D$ is a horizontal map with $\Phi_*(T_p^{1,0}\mathcal{T}) \subseteq T_{o,h}^{1,0}D$ for any $p \in \mathcal{T}$ and $o = \Phi(p) \in D$.

Let us consider the nilpotent Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{n}_+ := \oplus_{k \geq 1} \mathfrak{g}^{-k,k}$. Then one gets the holomorphic isomorphism $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b} \cong \mathfrak{n}_+$. Since D is an open set in $\check{D}$, we have the following relation:

$$T_{o,h}^{1,0}D = T_{o,h}^{1,0}\check{D} \cong \mathfrak{b} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{-1,1}/\mathfrak{b} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b} \cong \mathfrak{n}_+.$$

We take the unipotent group $N_+ = \exp(\mathfrak{n}_+)$. Then $N_+ \simeq \mathbb{C}^d$ for some d. We remark that with a fixed base point, we can identify N_+ with its unipotent orbit in $\check{D}$ by identifying an element $c \in N_+$ with $[c] = cB$ in $\check{D}$; that is, $N_+ = N_+(\text{ base point }) \cong N_+B/B \subseteq \check{D}$.

Let us introduce the notion of an adapted basis for the given Hodge decomposition or the Hodge filtration. For any $p \in \mathcal{T}$ and $f^k = \dim F_p^k$ for any $0 \leq k \leq n$, we call a basis

$$\xi = \left\{\xi_0, \xi_1, \cdots, \xi_N, \cdots, \xi_{f^{k+1}}, \cdots, \xi_{f^k-1}, \cdots, \xi_{f^2}, \cdots, \xi_{f^1-1}, \xi_{f^0-1}\right\}$$

of $H^n(M_p, \mathbb{C})$ an *adapted basis for the given Hodge decomposition*

$$H^n(M_p, \mathbb{C}) = H_p^{n,0} \oplus H_p^{n-1,1} \oplus \cdots \oplus H_p^{1,n-1} \oplus H_p^{0,n},$$

if it satisfies $H_p^{k,n-k} = \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\left\{\xi_{f^{k+1}}, \cdots, \xi_{f^k-1}\right\}$ with $\dim H_p^{k,n-k} = f^k - f^{k+1}$. We call a basis

$$\zeta = \{\zeta_0, \zeta_1, \cdots, \zeta_N, \cdots, \zeta_{f^{k+1}}, \cdots, \zeta_{f^k-1}, \cdots, \zeta_{f^2}, \cdots, \zeta_{f^1-0}, \zeta_{f^0-1}\}$$

of $H^n(M_p, \mathbb{C})$ an *adapted basis for the given filtration*

$$F^n \subseteq F^{n-1} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq F^0$$

if it satisfies $F^k = \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{\zeta_0, \cdots, \zeta_{f^k-1}\}$ with $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} F^k = f^k$. Moreover, unless otherwise pointed out, the matrices in this paper are $m \times m$ matrices, where $m = f^0$. The blocks of the $m \times m$ matrix T is set as follows: for each $0 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n$, the (α, β)-th block $T^{\alpha,\beta}$ is

$$T^{\alpha,\beta} = [T_{ij}(\tau)]_{f^{-\alpha+n+1} \leq i \leq f^{-\alpha+n}-1,\ f^{-\beta+n+1} \leq j \leq f^{-\beta+n}-1}, \tag{5}$$

where T_{ij} is the entries of the matrix T, and f^{n+1} is defined to be zero. In particular, $T = [T^{\alpha,\beta}]$ is called a *block lower triangular matrix* if $T^{\alpha,\beta} = 0$ whenever $\alpha < \beta$.

Let us fix an adapted basis $\{\eta_0, \cdots, \eta_{m-1}\}$ for the Hodge decomposition of the base point $p \in \mathcal{T}$, then elements in N_+ can be realized as nonsingular block lower triangular matrices whose diagonal blocks are all identity submatrix. By viewing N_+ as a subset of $\check{D}$ with the fixed base point, we define

$$\check{\mathcal{T}} = \Phi^{-1}(N_+).$$

Let us still denote the restriction map $\Phi|_{\check{\mathcal{T}}}$ by Φ. We first prove the following important proposition by using structure theory for the Lie groups and Lie algebras.

Proposition 2.1. *The restriction map $\Phi : \check{\mathcal{T}} \to N_+$ is bounded in $N_+ \simeq \mathbb{C}^d$ with respect to the Euclidean metric on N_+.*

The Euclidean metric on N_+ is induced from the Hodge metric on D at the reference point p through the identification $T_pD \simeq \mathfrak{n}_+$. The main idea of the proof of this theorem is as follows. One takes Cartan decomposition $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{k}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_0$, where the Lie subgroup $K \subseteq G_{\mathbb{R}}$ corresponding to $\mathfrak{k}_0$ is a maximal compact subgroup in $G_{\mathbb{R}}$. We first realize that the simple real Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_0$ in our case has a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}_0 \subseteq \mathfrak{k}_0$. Thus $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is of the first category (cf. [28], Theorem 8, pp 422). Then using the result of Lemma 3 in [29] about the real semisimple Lie algebra of first category that the maximal abelian subspace in $\mathfrak{p}_0$ can be decomposed using the noncompact root vectors. This decomposition along with the property that the period map is horizontal allows us to show that $\Phi(\check{\mathcal{T}})$ sits in a polydisc, a product of discs of radius 1 up to normalization, in N_+. This argument is a slight extension of Harish-Chandra's proof of his famous embedding theorem of the Hermitian symmetric domains as bounded domains in complex Euclidean spaces. One

may refer to Lemma 7 and Lemma 8 at pp. 582–583 in [10], Proposition 7.4 at pp. 385 and Ch VIII §7 at pp. 382–396 in [11], Proposition 1 at pp. 91 and Proof of Theorem 1 at pp. 95–97 in [23], and Lemma 2.2.12 at pp. 141-142 and §5.4 in [42] for more details.

Moreover, since for a generic base point, its orbit of N_+ is the largest Schubert cell in $\check{D}$ with complement a divisor, and the period map Φ is nondegenerate by local Torelli theorm, it is not hard to show that the subset $\check{\mathcal{T}}$ is an open submanifold in $\mathcal{T}$ with the complex codimension of $\mathcal{T}\backslash\check{\mathcal{T}}$ at least one. Then combining with the above boundedness of Φ on $\check{\mathcal{T}}$, we can apply Riemann extension theorem to conclude the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2. *The image of the period map $\Phi : \mathcal{T} \to D$ is in $N_+ \cap D$.*

With the above theorem, we have $\mathcal{T} = \Phi^{-1}(N_+)$. Thus for any $q \in \mathcal{T}$, $\Phi(q) \in N_+$ is a nonsingular block lower triangular matrix with identity diagonal blocks. Therefore, we can define a holomorphic map

$$\begin{aligned} &\tau : \mathcal{T} \to \mathbb{C}^N \cong H_p^{n-1,1}, \quad q \mapsto (1,0)\text{-block of the matrix } \Phi(q) \in N_+, \\ \text{that is,} \quad &\tau(q) = (\tau_1(q), \tau_2(q), \cdots, \tau_N(q)) = ([\Phi(q)]_{10}, [\Phi(q)]_{20}, \cdots, [\Phi(q)]_{N0}). \end{aligned}$$

If we define the following projection map with the fixed base point and its fixed adapted basis to the Hodge decomposition,

$$P : N_+ \cap D \to H_p^{n-1,1} \cong \mathbb{C}^N, \quad F \mapsto (\eta_1, \cdots, \eta_N)F^{(1,0)} = F_{10}\eta_1 + \cdots + F_{N0}\eta_N, \tag{6}$$

where $F^{(1,0)}$ is the $(1,0)$-block of the unipotent matrix F. Then $\tau = P \circ \Phi : \mathcal{T} \to \mathbb{C}^N$.

Let us review the definition of complex affine manifolds. One may refer to [22, pp 215] for more details.

Definition 2.3. *Let M be a complex manifold of complex dimension n. If there is a coordinate cover $\{(U_i, \varphi_i); i \in I\}$ of M such that $\varphi_{ik} = \varphi_i \circ \varphi_k^{-1}$ is a holomorphic affine transformation on $\mathbb{C}^n$ whenever $U_i \cap U_k$ is not empty, then $\{(U_i, \varphi_i); i \in I\}$ is called a complex affine coordinate cover on M and it defines a holomorphic affine structure on M.*

By the local Torelli theorem for Calabi–Yau manifolds (cf. [32] and [35]) or Calabi–Yau type manifolds and the definition of holomorphic affine structure, we can conclude the main theorem of this section,

Theorem 2.4. *The holomorphic map $\tau = (\tau_1, \cdots, \tau_N) : \mathcal{T} \to \mathbb{C}^N$ defines a local coordinate around each point $q \in \mathcal{T}$. Thus the map τ itself gives a global holomorphic coordinate for $\mathcal{T}$ with the transition maps all identity maps. In particular, the global holomorphic coordinate $\tau : \mathcal{T} \to \mathbb{C}^N$ defines a holomorphic affine structure on $\mathcal{T}$. Therefore, $\mathcal{T}$ is a complex affine manifold.*

Remark 2.5. We remark that the construction of the holomorphic affine structure on the Teichmüller space depends on the choices of the base point. In fact, fix another base point $p' \in \mathcal{T}$, and we analogously define another coordinate map $\tau' : \mathcal{T} \to \mathbb{C}^N$, which gives a holomorphic affine structure on $\mathcal{T}$. Then in general τ and τ' define different holomorphic affine structures on $\mathcal{T}$ in the following sense: if $\varphi : \mathbb{C}^N \to \mathbb{C}^N$ is the holomorphic map satisfying $\tau = \varphi \circ \tau'$, then φ is *not* an affine map in general.

3. Hodge metric completion space of Teichmüller space and a global Torelli theorem

This section contains a review of our extension of the affine structure to the Hodge metric completion $\mathcal{T}^H$ of the Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}$, as well as its consequences including a global Torelli theorem for polarized and marked Calabi–Yau and Calabi–Yau type manifolds.

Let us denote the period map on the smooth moduli space by $\Phi_{\mathcal{Z}_m} : \mathcal{Z}_m \to D/\Gamma$, where Γ denotes the global monodromy group which acts properly and discontinuously on D. Then $\Phi : \mathcal{T} \to D$ is the lifting of $\Phi_{\mathcal{Z}_m} \circ \pi_m$, where $\pi_m : \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{Z}_m$ is the universal covering map. There is Hodge metric h on D, which is a complete homogeneous metric and is studied in [9]. For both Calabi–Yau and Calabi–Yau type manifolds, both $\Phi_{\mathcal{Z}_m}$ and Φ are locally injective. Thus the pull-backs of h on $\mathcal{Z}_m$ and $\mathcal{T}$ are both well-defined Kähler metrics, and they are still called Hodge metrics.

Let $\mathcal{Z}_m^H$ be the Hodge metric completion of the smooth moduli space $\mathcal{Z}_m$ and let $\mathcal{T}_m^H$ be the universal cover of $\mathcal{Z}_m^H$ with the universal covering map $\pi_m^H : \mathcal{T}_m^H \to \mathcal{Z}_m^H$. We compactify $\mathcal{Z}_m$ to a smooth projective manifold $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_m$ so that $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_m \backslash \mathcal{Z}_m$ is normal crossing divisors by Hironaka resolution of singularity. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{Z}_m^H$ is a connected and complete smooth submanifold in $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_m$ with $\mathrm{codim}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{Z}_m^H \backslash \mathcal{Z}_m) \geq 1$. Thus $\mathcal{T}_m^H$ is a connected and simply connected complete smooth complex manifold. We also obtain the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{T} & \xrightarrow{i_m} & \mathcal{T}_m^H & \xrightarrow{\Phi_m^H} & D \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_m} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_m^H} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_D} \\ \mathcal{Z}_m & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathcal{Z}_m^H & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{\mathcal{Z}_m}^H} & D/\Gamma, \end{array}$$

where $\Phi_{\mathcal{Z}_m}^H$ is the continuous extension map of the period map $\Phi_{\mathcal{Z}_m} : \mathcal{Z}_m \to D/\Gamma$, i is the inclusion map, i_m is a lifting of $i \circ \pi_m$, and Φ_m^H is a lifting of $\Phi_{\mathcal{Z}_m}^H \circ \pi_m^H$, and we fix a suitable choice of i_m and Φ_m^H such that $\Phi = \Phi_m^H \circ i_m$. Let us denote $\mathcal{T}_m := i_m(\mathcal{T})$ and the restriction map $\Phi_m = \Phi_m^H|_{\mathcal{T}_m}$, then we also have $\Phi = \Phi_m \circ i_m$. Moreover, it is easy to see that Φ_m is also bounded by Theorem 2.1. With these notations, we can conclude that the image $\mathcal{T}_m$ equals to the preimage $(\pi_m^H)^{-1}(\mathcal{Z}_m)$. Therefore, $\mathcal{T}_m$ is a connected open submanifold in $\mathcal{T}_m^H$ and $\mathrm{codim}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{T}_m^H \backslash \mathcal{T}_m) \geq 1$.

Recall that in §2, we have fixed a base point $p \in \mathcal{T}$ and an adapted basis $\{\eta_0, \cdots, \eta_{m-1}\}$ for the Hodge decomposition of the base point $\Phi(p) \in D$. With the fixed base point in D, we can identify N_+ with its unipotent orbit in $\check{D}$. Then applying Riemann extension theorem on the bounded map $\Phi_m : \mathcal{T}_m \to N_+ \cap D$, we may conclude

Lemma 3.1. *The map Φ_m^H is a bounded holomorphic map from $\mathcal{T}_m^H$ to $N_+ \cap D$.*

With the fixed the base point $p \in \mathcal{T}$ and the fixed adapted basis $\{\eta_0, \cdots, \eta_{m-1}\}$ for the Hodge decomposition of $\Phi(p)$, we can analogously define the holomorphic map

$$\tau_m^H = P \circ \Phi_m^H : \mathcal{T}_m^H \to \mathbb{C}^N, \tag{7}$$

where P is the projection map defined in (6). Moreover, we also have $\tau = P \circ \Phi = P \circ \Phi^H_m \circ i_m = \tau^H_m \circ i_m$. Then using the property that the holomorphic map τ defines the holomorphic affine structure on $\mathcal{T}$, we can show that

Theorem 3.2. *The holomorphic map $\tau^H_m : \mathcal{T}^H_m \to \mathbb{C}^N$ is a local embedding, and it defines a global holomorphic affine structure on $\mathcal{T}^H_m$.*

Now using the completeness of $\mathcal{T}^H_m$ and the holomorphic affine structure on it, we can show that any two points in $\mathcal{T}^H_m$ can be joined by a staightline. Then the injectivity of τ^H_m follows by contradiction: if $\tau^H_m(p) = \tau^H_m(q)$ for some $p \neq q$, then affineness of τ^H_m would imply that τ^H_m was a constant map on the line connecting p and q. However, this contradicts to the local injectivity of τ^H_m. Moreover, as $\tau^H_m = P \circ \Phi^H_m$, where P is the projection map and Φ^H_m is a bounded map, we may conclude that τ^H_m is bounded as well. To conclude, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3. *For any $m \geq 3$, the holomorphic map $\tau^H_m : \mathcal{T}^H_m \to \mathbb{C}^N$ is an injection. In particular, the completion space $\mathcal{T}^H_m$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{C}^N$.*

Corollary 3.4. *The holomorphic map $\Phi^H_m : \mathcal{T}^H_m \to N_+ \cap D$ is also an injection.*

Using the above corollary and the completeness of $\mathcal{T}^H_m$, we can conclude the following proposition, which shows that the definition of $\mathcal{T}^H_m$ is independent of the choice of the level m structure.

Proposition 3.5. *For any $m, m' \geq 3$, the complete complex manifolds $\mathcal{T}^H_m$ and $\mathcal{T}^H_{m'}$ are biholomorphic to each other.*

Definition 3.6. *We define the complete complex manifold $\mathcal{T}^H = \mathcal{T}^H_m$, the holomorphic map $i_{\mathcal{T}} : \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T}^H$ by $i_{\mathcal{T}} = i_m$, and the extended period map $\Phi^H : \mathcal{T}^H \to D$ by $\Phi^H = \Phi^H_m$ for any $m \geq 3$. In particular, with these new notations, we have the commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{T} & \xrightarrow{i_{\mathcal{T}}} & \mathcal{T}^H & \xrightarrow{\Phi^H} & D \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_m} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi^H_m} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_D} \\ \mathcal{Z}_m & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathcal{Z}^H_m & \xrightarrow{\Phi^H_{\mathcal{Z}_m}} & D/\Gamma. \end{array}$$

Remark 3.7. By the definition, we can immediately conclude that the complex manifold $\mathcal{T}^H$ is a complex affine manifold, which is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{C}^N$.

Proposition 3.8. *The map $i_{\mathcal{T}} : \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T}^H$ is an embedding. In particular, $\mathcal{T}^H$ the completion space of $\mathcal{T}$ with respect to the Hodge metric and the extended period map $\Phi^H : \mathcal{T}^H \to N_+ \cap D$ is a holomorphic injection.*

To show $i_{\mathcal{T}} : \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T}^H$ is an embedding, we first note that $\mathcal{T}_0 := \mathcal{T}_m = i_m(\mathcal{T})$ is also well-defined. Then it is not hard to show that $i_{\mathcal{T}} : \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T}_0$ is a covering map. Moreover, we prove that $i_{\mathcal{T}} : \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T}_0$ is actually one-to-one by showing that the fundamental group of $\mathcal{T}_0$ is trivial. Here the markings of the Calabi–Yau manifolds or the Calabi–Yau type manifolds and the simply connectedness of $\mathcal{T}$ come into play substantially.

Since $\Phi = \Phi^H \circ i_{\mathcal{T}}$ with both Φ^H and $i_{\mathcal{T}}$ embeddings, we get the global Torelli theorem for the period map from the Teichmüller space to the period domain as follows.

Theorem 3.9 (Global Torelli theorem)**.** *The period map $\Phi : \mathcal{T} \to D$ is injective.*

Using the completeness of $\mathcal{T}^H$ and the injectivity of Φ^H, together with the function $f : D \to \mathbb{R}$ which is constructed in Theorem 8.1 in [9], we can construct a plurisubharmornic exhaustion function $\mathcal{T}^H$. This shows that $\mathcal{T}^H$ is a bounded domain of holomorphy in $\mathbb{C}^N$. Moreover, the existence of the Kähler-Einstein metric follows directly from a theorem of Mok–Yau in [24].

Theorem 3.10. *The Hodge metric completion space $\mathcal{T}^H$ is a bounded domain of holomorphy in $\mathbb{C}^N$; thus there exists a complete Kähler–Einstein metric on $\mathcal{T}^H$.*

4. Applications

This section contains several applications of the results reviewed in the previous sections. In Section 4.1 we prove a general result for the extended period map to be a bi-holomorphic map from $\mathcal{T}^H$, the Hodge metric completion of the Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}$ of polarized and marked Calabi–Yau and Calabi–Yau type manifolds to the corresponding period domain; and apply this result to the cases of K3 surfaces and cubic fourfolds. We hope to find more interesting examples in our subsequent work. In Section 4.2 we construct explicit holomorphic sections of the Hodge bundles on $\mathcal{T}^H$, which trivialize those Hodge bundles. In particular, for Calabi–Yau manifolds, a global holomorphic section of holomorphic $(n, 0)$-classes on $\mathcal{T}^H$ is constructed, which coincides with explicit local Taylor expansion in the affine coordinates at any base point p in $\mathcal{T}^H$. Finally in Section 4.3 we prove a global splitting property for the Hodge bundles, as well as a theorem proves that a compact polarized and marked Calabi–Yau manifold with complex structure J can not be deformation equivalent to a polarized and marked Calabi–Yau manifolds with conjugate complex structure $-J$.

4.1. Surjectivity of the period map on the Teichmüller space.

In this section we use our results on the Hodge completion space $\mathcal{T}^H$ to give a simple proof of the surjectivity of the period maps of K3 surfaces and cubic fourfolds. First we have the following general result for polarized and marked Calabi–Yau manifolds and Calabi–Yau type manifolds,

Theorem 4.1. *If $\dim \mathcal{T}^H = \dim D$, then the extended period map $\Phi^H : \mathcal{T}^H \to D$ is surjective.*

Proof. Since $\dim \mathcal{T}^H = \dim D$, the property that $\Phi^H : \mathcal{T}^H \to D$ is an local isomorphism shows that the image of $\mathcal{T}^H$ under the extended period map Φ^H is open in D. On the other hand, the completeness of $\mathcal{T}^H$ with respect to Hodge metric implies that the image of $\mathcal{T}^H$ under Φ^H is close in D. As $\mathcal{T}^H$ is not empty and that D is connected, we can conclude that $\Phi^H(\mathcal{T}^H) = D$. □

It is well known that for K3 surfaces, which are two dimensional Calabi–Yau manifolds, we have $\dim \mathcal{T}^H = \dim \mathcal{T} = \dim D = 19$; for cubic fourfolds, they are Calabi–Yau type manifolds. One knows that both K3 and cubic fourfolds have smooth Teichmüller spaces, and $\dim \mathcal{T}^H = \dim \mathcal{T} = \dim D = 20$. Thus applying the above theorem, we can easily conclude that

Corollary 4.2. *Let $\mathcal{T}^H$ be the Hodge metric completion space of the Teichmüller space for polarized and marked K3 surfaces or cubic fourfolds. Then the extended period map $\Phi^H : \mathcal{T}^H \to D$ is surjective.*

In fact, among all the Calabi–Yau or Calabi–Yau type projective hypersurfaces, K3 surfaces and cubic fourfolds are the only two satisfying the condition that the dimensions of the Teichmüller space and the period domain are the same. It would be interesting to find such examples for complete intersections in weighted projective spaces and compact homogeneous manifolds.

Remark 4.3. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be the Teichmüller space of polarized and marked hyperkähler manifolds, $H^2_{pr}(M,\mathbb{C})$ the degree 2 primitive cohomology group, and D the period domain of weight two Hodge structures on $H^2_{pr}(M,\mathbb{C})$. Then our method can be applied without change to prove that the period map from $\mathcal{T}$ to D is also injective. Furthermore, let $\mathcal{T}^H$ be the Hodge completion of $\mathcal{T}$ with respect to the Hodge metric induced from the homogeneous metric on D, then the extended period map from $\mathcal{T}^H$ to D is also surjective. This follows from the same argument of above theorem. See [36] and [13] for different injectivity and surjectivity results for hyperkähler manifolds.

4.2. **Global holomorphic sections of the Hodge bundles.** In this section we prove the existence and study the property of global holomorphic sections of the Hodge bundles $\{F^k\}_{k=0}^n$ over Hodge completion space $\mathcal{T}^H$ of Teichmülcer space of polarized and marked Calabi–Yau and Calabi–Yau type manifolds. The main ingredient of proofs in this section is Theorem 2.2.

Recall that we have fixed a base point $p \in \mathcal{T}$ and an adapted basis $\{\eta_0, \cdots, \eta_{m-1}\}$ for the Hodge decomposition of the base point $\Phi(p) \in D$. With the fixed base point in D, we can identify N_+ with its unipotent orbit in $\check{D}$ by identifying an element $c \in N_+$ with $[c] = cB$ in $\check{D}$.

On one hand, as we have fixed an adapted basis $\{\eta_0, \cdots, \eta_{m-1}\}$ for the Hodge decomposition of the base point. Then elements in $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ can be identified with a subset of the nonsingular block matrices. In particular, the set N_+ with its unipotent orbit in $\check{D}$, then elements in elements in N_+ can be realized as nonsingular block lower triangular matrices whose diagonal blocks are all identity submatrix. Namely, for any element $\{F_o^k\}_{k=0}^n \in N_+ \subseteq \check{D}$, there exists a unique nonsingular block lower triangular matrices $A(o) \in G_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $(\eta_0, \cdots, \eta_{m-1})A(o)$ is an adapted basis for the Hodge filtration $\{F_o^k\} \in N_+$ that represents this element in N_+. Similarly, any elements in B can be realized as nonsingular block upper triangular matrices in $G_{\mathbb{C}}$. Moreover, as $\check{D} = G_{\mathbb{C}}/B$, we have that for any $U \in G_{\mathbb{C}}$, which is a nonsingular block upper triangular matrix, $(\eta_0, \cdots, \eta_{m-1})A(o)U$ is also an adapted basis for the Hodge filtration $\{F^k(o)\}_{k=0}^n$. Conversely, if $(\zeta_0, \cdots, \zeta_{m-1})$ is an adapted basis for the Hodge filtration $\{F_o^k\}_{k=0}^n$, then there exists a unique $U \in G_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $(\zeta_0, \cdots, \zeta_{m-1}) = (\eta_0, \cdots, \eta_{m-1})A(o)U$.

For any $q \in \mathcal{T}$, let us denote the Hodge filtration at $q \in \mathcal{T}$ by $\{F_q^k\}_{k=0}^n$, and we have that $\{F_q^k\}_{k=0}^n \in N_+ \cap D$ by Theorem 2.2. Thus there exists a unique nonsingular block lower triangular matrices $\widetilde{A}(q)$ such that $(\eta_0, \cdots, \eta_{m-1})\widetilde{A}(q)$ is an adapted basis for the Hodge filtration $\{F_q^k\}_{k=0}^n$.

On the other hand, for any adapted basis $\{\zeta_0(q), \cdots, \zeta_{m-1}(q)\}$ for the Hodge filtration $\{F_q^k\}_{k=0}^n$ at q, we know that there exists an $m \times m$ transition matrix $A(q)$ such that

$$(\zeta_0(q), \cdots, \zeta_{m-1}(q)) = (\eta_0, \cdots, \eta_{m-1})A(q).$$

Moreover, we set the blocks of $A(q)$ as in (5) and denote the (i,j)-th block of $A(q)$ by $A^{i,j}(q)$.

According to the above discussion, as both $(\eta_0, \cdots, \eta_{m-1})\widetilde{A}(q)$ and $(\eta_0, \cdots, \eta_{m-1})A(q)$ are adapted bases for the Hodge filtration for $\{F_q^k\}_{k=0}^n$, there exists a $U \in G_{\mathbb{C}}$ which is a block nonsingular upper triangular matrix such that

$$(\eta_0, \cdots, \eta_{m-1})\widetilde{A}(q)U = (\eta_0, \cdots, \eta_{m-1})A(q).$$

Therefore, we conclude that

$$\widetilde{A}(q)U = A(q). \tag{8}$$

where $\widetilde{A}(q)$ is a nonsingular block lower triangular matrix in $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ with all the diagonal blocks equal to identity submatrix, while U is a block upper triangular matrix in $G_{\mathbb{C}}$. However, according to basic linear algebra, we know that a nonsingular matrix $A(q) \in G_{\mathbb{C}}$ have the decomposition of the type in (8) if and only if the principal submatrices $[A^{i,j}(q)]_{0\le i,j\le n-k}$ are nonsingular for all $0 \le k \le n$.

To conclude, by Theorem 2.2, we have that $\Phi(q) \in N_+$ for any $q \in \mathcal{T}$. Therefore, for any adapted basis $(\zeta_0(q), \cdots, \zeta_{m-1}(q))$, there exists a nonsingular block matrix $A(q) \in G_{\mathbb{C}}$ with $\det[A^{i,j}(q)]_{0\le i,j\le n-k} \neq 0$ for any $0 \le k \le n$ such that

$$(\zeta_0(q), \cdots, \zeta_{m-1}(q)) = (\eta_0, \cdots, \eta_{m-1})A(q).$$

Let $\{F_p^k\}_{k=0}^n$ be the reference Hodge filtration at the base point $p \in \mathcal{T}$. For any point $q \in \mathcal{T}^H$ with the corresponding Hodge filtrations $\{F_q^k\}_{k=0}^n$, we define the following maps

$$P_q^k : F_q^k \to F_p^k \quad \text{for any} \quad 0 \le k \le n$$

to be the projection map with respect to the Hodge decomposition at the reference point p.

Lemma 4.4. *For any point $q \in \mathcal{T}^H$ and $0 \le k \le n$, the map $P_q^k : F_q^k \to F_p^k$ is an isomorphism. Furthermore, P_q^k depends on q holomorphically.*

Proof. We have already fixed $\{\eta_0, \cdots, \eta_{m-1}\}$ as an adapted basis for the Hodge decomposition of the Hodge structure at the base point p. Thus it is also the adapted basis for the Hodge filtration $\{F_p^k\}_{k=0}^n$ at the base point. For any point $q \in \mathcal{T}$, let $\{\zeta_0, \cdots, \zeta_{m-1}\}$ be an adapted basis for the Hodge filtration $\{F_q^k\}_{k=0}^n$ at q. Let $[A^{i,j}(q)]_{0\le i,j\le n} \in G_{\mathbb{C}}$ be the transition matrix between the basis $\{\eta_0, \cdots, \eta_{m-1}\}$ and $\{\zeta_0, \cdots, \zeta_{m-1}\}$ for the same vector space $H^n(M, \mathbb{C})$. Then we have showed that $[A^{i,j}(q)]_{0\le i,j\le n-k}$ is nonsingular for all $0 \le k \le n$.

On the other hand, the submatrix $[A^{i,j}(q)]_{0\le j\le n-k}$ is the transition matrix between the bases of F_q^k and F_p^0 for any $0 \le k \le n$, that is

$$(\zeta_0(q), \cdots, \zeta_{f^k-1}(q)) = (\eta_0, \cdots, \eta_{m-1})[A^{i,j}(q)]_{0\le j\le n-k} \quad \text{for any} \quad 0 \le k \le n,$$

where $(\zeta_0(q), \cdots, \zeta_{f^k-1}(q))$ and $(\eta_0, \cdots, \eta_{m-1})$ are the bases for F_q^k and F_p^0 respectively. Thus the matrix of P_q^k with respect to $\{\eta_0, \cdots, \eta_{f^k-1}\}$ and $\{\zeta_0, \cdots, \zeta_{f^k-1}\}$ is the first $(n-k+1) \times (n-k+1)$ principal submatrix $[A^{i,j}(q)]_{0\le i,j\le n-k}$ of $[A^{i,j}(q)]_{0\le i,j\le n}$. Now since $[A^{i,j}(q)]_{0\le i,j\le n-k}$ for any $0 \le k \le n$ is nonsingular, we conclude that the map P_q^k is an isomorphism for any $0 \le k \le n$.

From our construction, it is clear that the projection P_q^k depends on q holomorphically. □

Now we are ready to construct the global holomorphic sections of Hodge bundles over $\mathcal{T}^H$. For any $0 \leq k \leq n$, we know that $\{\eta_0, \eta_1, \cdots, \eta_{f^k-1}\}$ is an adapted basis of the Hodge decomposition of F_p^k for any $0 \leq k \leq n$. Then we define the sections

$$s_i : \mathcal{T}^H \to F^k, \quad q \mapsto (P_q^k)^{-1}(\eta_i) \in F_q^k \quad \text{for any} \quad 0 \leq i \leq f^k - 1. \tag{9}$$

Lemma 4.4 implies that $\{(P_q^k)^{-1}(\eta_i)\}_{i=0}^{f^k-1}$ form a basis of F_q^k for any $q \in \mathcal{T}^H$. In fact, we have proved the following theorem for polarized and marked Calabi–Yau and Calabi–Yau type manifolds.

Theorem 4.5. *For all $0 \leq k \leq n$, the Hodge bundles F^k over $\mathcal{T}^H$ are trivial bundles, and the trivialization can be obtained by $\{s_i\}_{0 \leq i \leq f^k-1}$ which is defined in* (9).

Remark 4.6. In particular, the section $s_0 : \mathcal{T}^H \to F^n$ is a global nowhere zero section of the Hodge bundle F^n for Calabi–Yau manifolds.

By using the local deformation theory for Calabi–Yau manifolds in [34], Todorov constructed a canonical local holomorphic section of the line bundle F^n over the local deformation space of a Calabi–Yau manifold. In fact, let Ω_p be a holomorphic $(n,0)$-form on the central fiber M_p of the family. Then there exists a coordinate chart $\{U_p, (\tau_1, \cdots, \tau_N)\}$ around the base point p and a basis $\{\varphi_1, \cdots, \varphi_N\}$ of harmonic Beltrami differentials $\mathbb{H}^{0,1}(M_p, T^{1,0}M_p)$, such that

$$\Omega_p^c(\tau) = e^{\varphi(\tau)} \lrcorner \Omega_p, \tag{10}$$

is a family of holomorphic $(n,0)$-forms over U_p. We can assume this local coordinate chart is the same as the affine coordinates at p we constructed, which can be achieved simply by taking p as the base point in our construction in §2 of the affine structure on $\mathcal{T}$. The Kuranishi family of Beltrami differentials $\varphi(\tau)$ satisfyies the integrability equation which is solvable for Calabi–Yau manifolds by the Tian-Todorov lemma,

$$\overline{\partial}\varphi(\tau) = \frac{1}{2}[\varphi(\tau), \varphi(\tau)],$$

and the Taylor expansion $\varphi(\tau) = \sum_{i=1}^N \varphi_i \tau_i + O(|\tau|^2)$ converges for $|\tau|$ small by classical Kodaira-Spencer theory. We have

Lemma 4.7. *Let $\Omega_p^c(\tau)$ be a canonical family defined by* (10). *Then we have the following section of F^n over u_p,*

$$[\Omega_p^c(\tau)] = [\Omega_p] + \sum_{i=1}^N \tau_i [\varphi_i \lrcorner \Omega_p] + A(\tau), \tag{11}$$

where $\{[\varphi_i \lrcorner \Omega_p]\}_{i=1}^N$ give a basis of $H^{n-1,1}(M_p)$, and $A(\tau) = O(|\tau|^2) \in \bigoplus_{k=2}^n H^{n-k,k}(M_p)$ denotes terms of order at least 2 in τ.

Proof. Details of the proof of this lemma can be found in [4, Page 12–14], or [19, Proposition 5.1]. In fact one can directly check the following formula

$$e^{-\varphi(\tau)} \lrcorner \left(d(e^{\varphi(\tau)} \lrcorner \Omega_p) \right) = \overline{\partial}\Omega_p + \partial(\varphi(\tau) \lrcorner \Omega_p). \tag{12}$$

The construction of the Kuranishi family $\varphi(\tau)$ implies that $\partial(\varphi(\tau)\lrcorner\Omega_p)=0$, and the fact that Ω_p is holomorphic on M_p implies $\overline{\partial}\Omega_p=0$. So the right hand side of formula (12) is equal to 0. Then by replacing the de Rham differential operator d on the left hand side by $\partial_\tau+\overline{\partial}_\tau$ on fiber M_τ, we get $(\partial_\tau+\overline{\partial}_\tau)(e^{\varphi(\tau)}\lrcorner\Omega_p)=0$. Note that $e^{\varphi(\tau)}\lrcorner\Omega_p$ is a $(n,0)$ form on M_τ, and $\partial_\tau(e^{\varphi(\tau)}\lrcorner\Omega_p)=0$, we get

$$\overline{\partial}_\tau(e^{\varphi(\tau)}\lrcorner\Omega_p)=0.$$

Therefore $e^{\varphi(\tau)}\lrcorner\Omega_p$ is a holomorphic $(n,0)$- form on the Calabi–Yau manifold M_τ. The Taylor expansion (11) follows from the corresponding Taylor expansion of $\varphi(\tau)$. □

Using the same notation as in Lemma 4.7, we are ready to prove the following theorem for Calabi–Yau manifolds,

Theorem 4.8. *Choose $[\Omega_p]=\eta_0$, then the section s_0 of F^n is a global holomorphic extension of the local section $[\Omega_p^c(\tau)]$.*

Proof. Because both s_0 and $[\Omega_p^c(\tau)]$ are holomorphic sections of F^n, we only need to show that $s_0|_{U_p}=[\Omega_p^c(\tau)]$. In fact, from the expansion formula (11), we have that for any $q\in U_p$

$$P_q^n([\Omega_p^c(\tau(q))])=[\Omega_p]=\eta_0.$$

Therefore, $[\Omega_p^c(\tau(q))]=(P_q^n)^{-1}(\eta_0)=s_0(q)$ for any point $q\in U_p$. □

As an example, if we consider the Teichmüller space of polarized and marked hyperkähler manifolds and the weight two variation of Hodge structure of hyperkäbler manifolds, then the Taylor series (11) is a finite degree polynomial and converges globally on $\mathcal{T}^H$. More precisely we have the following

Example 4.9. Let $\mathcal{T}^H$ be the Hodge completion of Teichmüller space of a polarized and marked hyprkähler manifold, and $(\tau_1,\cdots,\tau_N)$ be global affine coordinates with respect to the reference point p and an orthonormal basis $\{\eta_1,\cdots,\eta_N\}$ of $H^{1,1}_{pr}(M_p)$, then

$$[\Omega_p^c(\tau)]=[\Omega_p]+\sum_{i=1}^{19}\tau_i\eta_i+\left(\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{19}\tau_i^2\right)[\overline{\Omega}_p],$$

is a global holomorphic section of F^2 over $\mathcal{T}^H$. In fact, in this case, $\mathcal{T}^H$ is bi-holomorphic to D given by the period map as discussed in Section 4.1, and the affine structure on $\mathcal{T}^H$ is induced from the affine structure on D by the Harish-Chandra embedding of D into the complex Euclidean space. The global affine coordinates on $\mathcal{T}^H$ is induced by the Harish-Chandra embedding.

4.3. **A global splitting property of the Hogde bundles.** In section 4.2, we proved that the Hodge bundles $\{F^k\}_{k=0}^n$ over the Hodge metric completion space of the Teichmüller space of polarized and marked Calabi–Yau and Calabi–Yau type manifolds are trivial bundles by directly constructing global trivializations. In particular, because the Hodge bundle F^0 is a trivial bundle over $\mathcal{T}^H$, we have a trivialization

$$F^0=\mathcal{T}^H\times H^n(M,\mathbb{C}).$$

Then for any sub-bundle $V\subset F^0$, the fiber V_q at a point $q\in\mathcal{T}^H$ will be considered as a subspace of $H^n(M,\mathbb{C})$, which does not depend on the point $q\in\mathcal{T}^H$.

In this section, we directly construct global defined anti-holomorphic vector bundles $\widetilde{F}^k$ over $\mathcal{T}^H$, such that for any point $q \in \mathcal{T}$, the vector space $H^n(M, \mathbb{C})$ splits as

$$H^n(M, \mathbb{C}) = F_p^k \oplus \widetilde{F}_q^k \quad \text{for any } q \in \mathcal{T}^H,$$

where p is the base point in $\mathcal{T}^H$. Then as an application of our method, in Theorem 4.13 we also prove that any two fibers of the versal family $\mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{T}$ can not be conjugate manifolds of each other.

The construction of vector bundles $\widetilde{F}^k$ is again based on Lemma 4.4, in fact, we have the following equivalent lemma,

Lemma 4.10. *For any $q \in \mathcal{T}^H$ and $1 \leq k \leq n$, we have that $H^n(M, \mathbb{C}) = F_p^k \oplus \overline{F_q^{n-k+1}}$.*

Proof. Firstly, the decomposition $H^n(M, \mathbb{C}) = F_p^k \oplus \overline{F_p^{n-k+1}}$ follows from the definition of the Hodge structure for any $0 \leq k \leq n$. Secondly Lemma 4.4 implies that $P_q^{n-k+1} : F_p^{n-k+1} \to F_q^{n-k+1}$ is an isomorphism for any $q \in \mathcal{T}^H$ and any $0 \leq k \leq n$. Therefore $F_p^k \cap \overline{F_q^{n-k+1}} = \{0\}$ as the projection from F_p^k to F_p^{n-k+1} is a zero map.

On the other hand, $\dim F_p^k + \dim \overline{F_q^{n-k+1}} = \dim F_p^k + \dim \overline{F_p^{n-k+1}} = \dim H^n(M, \mathbb{C})$, so we have that

$$H^n(M, \mathbb{C}) = F_p^k \oplus \overline{F_q^{n-k+1}}.$$

□

Because the reference point p is an arbitrary prefixed point on $\mathcal{T}$, and the Hodge filtration at each point does not depend on the choice of the reference point, Lemma 4.10 actually implies,

Corollary 4.11. *For any different points q and q' on $\mathcal{T}$, and $1 \leq k \leq n$, we have $H^n(M, \mathbb{C}) = F_q^k \oplus \overline{F_{q'}^{n-k+1}}$.*

Let us write $\widetilde{F}^k = \overline{F^{n-k+1}}$, for each $0 \leq k \leq n$. Then we have proved the following result,

Theorem 4.12. *The vector bundles $\{\widetilde{F}^k\}_{k=0}^n$ are globally defined anti-holomorphic vector bundles over $\mathcal{T}^H$ such that*

$$H^n(M, \mathbb{C}) = F_p^k \oplus \widetilde{F}_q^k \quad \textit{for any } q \in \mathcal{T}^H.$$

Now we let M be a complex manifold with background differential manifold X and complex structure $J : T_{\mathbb{R}}X \to T_{\mathbb{R}}X$, then the complex conjugate manifold $\overline{M}$ is a complex manifold with the same background differential manifold X and with conjugate complex structure $-J$. In fact, M and its complex conjugate manifold $\overline{M}$ satisfy the relation $T^{1,0}M = T^{0,1}\overline{M}$ and $T^{0,1}M = T^{1,0}\overline{M}$.

Problems regarding deformation inequvalent complex conjugated complex structures have been studied, for example one may find interesting results in [15]. We will apply our results to study such problem for polarized and marked Calabi–Yau manifolds. In fact, another interesting application of Corollary 4.11 is that a polarized and marked Calabi–Yau manifold M can not be connected to its complex conjugate manifold $\overline{M}$ by deformation of complex structure . For any point q in $\mathcal{T}$, let M_q denote the fiber of the versal family $\mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{T}$ at point q. Then we have the following theorem,

Theorem 4.13. *If $q \neq q'$ are two different points on $\mathcal{T}$, then $M_{q'} \neq \overline{M_q}$.*

Proof. We prove this theorem by contradiction. Suppose $M_{q'} = \overline{M_q}$, and let Ω be an holomorphic $(n,0)$ form on M_q, then $\overline{\Omega}$ is a holomorphic $(n,0)$ form on $M_{q'} = \overline{M_q}$. Therefore the fibers of Hodge bundles on the two points satisfy $F^n_q = \overline{F^n_{q'}} \subset \overline{F^1_{q'}}$, and

$$H^n(M, \mathbb{C}) \neq F^n_q \oplus \overline{F^1_{q'}}.$$

But this contradicts to Corollary 4.11, so $M_{q'} \neq \overline{M_q}$ as desired. □

References

[1] A. Andreotti, On a theorem of Torelli, *Amer. J. Math.*, **80**(1958), pp. 80–828.

[2] D. Burns, and M. Rapoport, On the Torelli problem for Kählerian K3 surfaces, *Ann. Scient. Ec. Norm.* Super IV. Ser. **8**(1975), pp. 235–274.

[3] J. Cheeger and D. Ebin, *Comparison theorems in Riemannian geometry*, North-Holland Publishing Company, (1975).

[4] X. Chen, F. Guan and K. Liu, Hodge metric completion of the Teichmüller space of Calabi–Yau manifolds, `arXiv:1305.0231`.

[5] X. Chen, F. Guan and K. Liu, Global Torelli theorem for projective manifolds of Calabi–Yau type, `arXiv:1205.4207`.

[6] P. Deligne, Théorie de Hodge. I, II, III, *Proc. I.C.M.*, **1**(1970) pp. 425–430; *Publ. Math. I.H.E.S*, **40**(1971), pp. 5–58; **44**(1974), 5–77.

[7] P. Griffiths, Periods of integrals on algebraic manifolds I, II, III, *Amer. J. Math.*, **90**(1968), 568–626;***90(1968), 805–865.***; *Publ. Math. IHES.*, **38**(1970), 228–296.

[8] P. Griffiths, On the period of certain rational integrals I and II, *Ann. Math.*, **90**(1969), 460–541.

[9] P. Griffiths, W. Schmid, Locally homogeneous complex manifolds, *Acta Math.* **123**(1969), pp. 253-302.

[10] Harish-Chandra, Representation of semisimple Lie groups VI, *Amer. J. Math.* ***78(1956), pp. 564-628.***

[11] S. Helgason, *Differential geometry, Lie groups, and symmetric spaces,* Academic Press, New York, (1978).

[12] L. Hörmander, *An introduction to complex analysis in several variables*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, NJ, (1973).

[13] D. Huybrechts, Compact hyperkähler manifolds: Basic results, *Invent. Math.* **135**(1999), pp. 63-113.

[14] A. Iliev and L. Manivel, Fano manifolds of Calabi–Yau type, `arXiv:1102.3623`.

[15] V. Kharlamov and V. Kulikov, Deformation inequivalent complex conjugated complex structures and applications, *Turkish J. Math.* **26**(2002), pp. 1–25.

[16] R. Laza, The moduli space of cubic fourfolds, *J. Algebraic Geom***18**(2009), no. 3, pp. 511–545.

[17] R. Laza, Moduli space of cubic fourfolds via the period map, *Ann. Math*, **172**(2010), pp. 673–711.

[18] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu, *Foundations of differential geometry I*, Wiley-Interscience, New York-London, (1963).

[19] K. Liu, S. Rao and X. Yang, Quasi-isometry and deformations of Calabi-Yau manifolds, arxiv: 1207.1182

[20] E. Looijenga, and C. Peters, Torelli theorems for Kähler K3 surfaces, *Compositio Math.* **42**(1981), pp. 145– 186.

[21] E. Looijenga, The period map for cubic fourfolds, *Invent. Math.*, **177**(2009), pp. 213–233.

[22] Y. Matsushima, Affine structure on complex manifold, *Osaka J. Math.*, **5**(1968), pp. 215–222.

[23] N. Mok, *Metric Rigidity Theorems on Hermitian Locally Symmetric Manifolds,* Ser. Pure Math. Vol.6, World Scientific, Singapore-New Jersey-London-HongKong, (1989).

[24] N. Mok and S. T. Yau, Completeness of the Kähler-Einstein metric on bounded domains and the characterization of domain of holomorphi by curvature condition, *Symposia in Pure Math. The Mathematical Heritage of Henri Poincaré* **39**(1983), AMS, pp. 41–60.

[25] H. Popp, *Moduli theory and classification theory of algebraic varieties*, Volume 620 of *Lecture Notes in Mathemathics*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, (1977).
[26] I. I. Piatetski-Shapiro and I. R. Shafarevich, A Torelli theorem for algebraic surfaces of type K3. *USSR Izv. Ser. Math.*, **5**(1971), pp. 547–588.
[27] W. Schmid, Variation of Hodge structure: the singularities of the period mapping, *Invent. Math.*, **22**(1973), pp. 211–319.
[28] M. Sugiura, Conjugate classes of Cartan subalgebra in real semi-simple Lie algebras, *J. Math. Soc. Japan,* **11**(1959), pp. 374–434.
[29] M. Sugiura, Correction to my paper: Conjugate classes of Cartan subalgebra in real semi-simple Lie algebras *J. Math. Soc. Japan,* **23**(1971), pp. 374–383.
[30] Y.-T. Siu, A simple proof of the subjectivity of the period map of K3 surfaces, Manuscriptta Math., **35**(1981), pp 311-321
[31] B. Szendröi, Some finiteness results for Calabi-Yau threefolds, *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, Second series, **60**(1999), pp. 689–699.
[32] G. Tian, Smoothness of the universal deformation space of compact Calabi-Yau manifolds and its Petersson-Weil metric. *Mathematical aspects of string theory(San Diego, Calif.)*, (1986),World Sci. Publishing, Singapore, *Adv. Ser. Math. Phys.*, **1**(1987), pp. 629–646.
[33] A. Todorov, Applicatoins of the Kähler-Einstein-Calabi-Yau metric to modili of K3 surfaces, *Invent. Math.,* **61**(1980), pp. 251–265.
[34] A. N. Todorov, The Weil-Petersson geometry of the moduli space of SU($n \geq 3$) (Calabi–Yau) manifolds. I, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **126**(1989), pp. 325–346.
[35] R. Torelli, Sulle Varieta' di Jacobi I, II. *Rendiconti R. Accad. ei Lincei*, **22**(1913), pp. 98–103, 437–441.
[36] M. Verbitsky, A global Torelli theorem for hyperkähler Manifolds. `arXiv:0908.4121 v8`.
[37] E. Viehweg, *Quasi-projective moduli for polarized manifolds*, Volume 30 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)]*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1995).
[38] C. Voisin, *Hodge theory and complex algebraic geometry I*, Cambridge Universigy Press, New York, (2002).
[39] C. Voisin, Théorème de Torelli pour les cubiques de $\mathbb{P}^5$, *Invent. Math.,* **86**(1986), pp. 577-601
[40] A. Weil, Sur le théorème de Torelli, *Séminaire N.Bourbaki*, **4**(1995), Exp. No. 151, pp. 207–211.
[41] A. Weil, Final Report on Contract 18(603)-57, *Scientific Works. Collected Papers*, **II**(1958), pp. 390–395, 545–547.
[42] Y. Xu, *Lie groups and Hermitian symmetric spaces*, Science Press in China, (2001). (Chinese)

Department of Mathematics,University of California at Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555, USA
E-mail address: `xjchen@math.ucla.edu`

Department of Mathematics,University of California at Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555, USA
E-mail address: `fguan@math.ucla.edu`

Center of Mathematical Sciences, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang 310027, China; Department of Mathematics,University of California at Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555, USA
E-mail address: `liu@math.ucla.edu, liu@cms.zju.edu.cn`